

Owen Holmes  
2708 South Parkside Drive  
Lethbridge Alberta T1K 0C5  
403 327 0992

August 20 2018

James Compton President CAUT  
2705 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa ON K2B 8K2  
613 820 2270

### Section 1 Recommendation to CAUT

In 1958, the CAUT commissioned an investigation of the dismissal of Harry Crowe by United College. I have at hand my treasured copy of A PLACE OF LIBERTY 1964 in which Bora Laskin described that case and the report submitted by the investigation committee. As a young academic at Regina College (then in effect a colony of the University of Saskatchewan, and now the University of Regina) I followed the Harry Crowe case with amazement and excitement - my first real exposure to the tandem issues of academic freedom and due process.

The CAUT's prompt and determined action in that case established it as the gold standard for academic freedom and quality in this country, a status which it has maintained to this day. Over that 60 year period I have been a staunch CAUT supporter throughout my entire career and on into retirement.

In 2018, another case has just concluded, in a way at least, but with many dangerous consequences for academic freedom and due process. That is not a tenable situation for the Canadian university system and needs to be addressed. As I see it, only the CAUT has the resources and capability to investigate and report this case that unfolded over a two year period involving egregious behavior by both a board of trustees and a provincial government. Failure of the CAUT to attend to this unseemly episode would be a grievous blow to academic freedom in Canada setting an horrendous precedent. In my opinion, it would mark the beginning of the end of the hard earned reputation and credibility of the CAUT. The stakes are high.

Therefore, in paraphrase of the Harry Crowe case, I recommend that:

**The CAUT strike a special committee to "investigate carefully all relevant circumstances surrounding the suspension and retirement of Professor Anthony Hall of the University of Lethbridge and attempt to determine to what extent issues of academic freedom and tenure are involved" and "in the light of its findings make any recommendations for action it may think appropriate".**

I shall outline the Anthony (Tony) Hall case with enclosures to provide supporting detail. I do so

without access to important documentation so there may be minor factual errors in fact and surmise here and there. Indeed, were that documentation at hand plus a mandate of some sort, I would conduct the investigation myself. But overall, I am sufficiently confident of the general content, accuracy, and relevance of my narrative as a strong argument for my recommendation.

This letter is mine and mine alone. No other person or group is involved is. I write as a member of the public. I have no current status within the University of Lethbridge UL, though I am Professor Emeritus and, by special resolution of the Board, Vice-President (Academic) Emeritus.

## **Section 2 Prior to October 2016**

Tony Hall was appointed as Associate Professor in the UL Faculty of Arts and Science in July of 1990, and later promoted to Full Professor with very favorable external peer assessment. Commenting at a ceremony to mark the publication of the second volume of Hall's award winning series Bowl with One Spoon in 2011 **Enclosure 1**, Vice-President(Academic) Andy Hakin described Tony Hall:

"He is an impassioned critic of injustice. One of the things that should always come out about Tony is that he is an outstanding scholar. And anyone who has read his books, the two volumes in particular on display today, knows that that praise is well deserved. These are major works. This is not fluff. These are major contributions that will have a lasting impression on the field".

Fast forward to July/August /September of 2016, the Zionist Lobby (aka the Israel Lobby) came calling. Through secret meetings/communications/consultations the Lobby, the UL Board of Governors, and the Government of Alberta entered into a tri-partite pact to terminate Hall's appointment at the UL. This collusion came to light several months later due in part to a CAUT freedom of information search.

The Zionist Lobby launched a fierce public assault on Hall firing its customary three weaponized phrases anti-Semitic, holocaust-denying, and conspiracy theorist. Canadian Press and CBC enthusiastically spread this "news", uninvestigated of course, across the country including extensive coverage in the local Lethbridge Herald.

## **Section 3 Suspension October 4 2016 to November 23 2017**

On October 3/4, 2016 UL President Mahon notified Hall that he was suspended without pay and banished from campus effective immediately in mid semester, followed by three public announcements to "Dear University Community" **Enclosure 2**. Believe it or not, though he and Hall had occupied offices in the same section of the same building for six years prior to that notification, Mahon had never met face to face with Hall, let alone discussed his work.

Mahon wrote "This action is not focused on Dr. Hall's published scholarship, driven by complaints of students, or the demands of external advocacy groups" but focused on his comments "that have been characterized as being anti-semitic, supportive of holocaust denial and engagement in conspiracy theories" and creating "an environment that is discriminatory for students and his faculty colleagues whose personal backgrounds, research topics or beliefs are at odds with Dr. Hall's stated views".

ULFA immediately registered a grievance and CAUT condemned the Board. The undaunted Board forged ahead by laying a hate crime charge against Hall with the Alberta Human Rights Commission AHRC. Aside from the ULFA grievance, some thirty faculty members launched an angry petition that resulted in the restoration of Hall's pay during the ongoing suspension.

The Zionist Lobby complaint against Hall crafted originally by its lawyers from Montreal/Toronto was couched in legal jargon rather than university academese. It consisted of assertions and accusations without evidence, the hallmark of the lengthy history of Lobby assaults at North American universities. Hereafter I'll call it the Zombie Complaint because it turned out impossible to slay. The Zombie Complaint first showed up in the Lobby's media blitzkrieg against Hall. It trudged on as the weaponized phrases in the Mahon suspension announcements and thence to the AHRC which promptly dismissed it as unworthy even of serious investigation **Enclosure 3.**

But the slain Zombie came back to life, stalking around on and off campus during the entire year plus suspension. The lethal blows of quick dismissal by the Calgary Police and the Lethbridge Police didn't stop it either. So Dean of Arts and Science Craig Cooper commissioned Dean of Health Sciences Chris Hosgood (also a History Professor) to do an internal rubber stamp of the Zombie. But in a shocking turn of events, to Cooper's dismay Hosgood found in Hall's favor. During the dozen or so Keystone Kops investigations of the suspension period, all illegal outside the collective agreement, Hosgood is the only historian ever known to have been involved. However, the Zombie survived Hosgood's lethal blow too. Having failed in the Hosgood caper, Cooper commissioned Kinesiology Professor Michelle Helstein to try again. She did much better than Hosgood, enthusiastically reviving the Zombie. Immediately, Cooper fired off a Letter of Reprimand to Hall.

Meanwhile the Board refused to deal with the ULFA grievance. Its continued harrassment of Hall even while banished from campus spawned a half dozen additional ULFA procedural grievances. Finally, when the Board refused to recognize the jurisdiction of the provincially appointed arbitrator, ULFA hauled it into court on August 8 2017. A month or so later the Judge announced his decision demolishing the Board's argument. Rather than risking arbitration, the Board suddenly reversed course and negotiated with ULFA for Hall's reinstatement which came to pass on November 23 2017.

On February 16 2017 UL English Professor Dan O'Donnell posted on line a perceptive

beautifully articulated assessment of the Board's folly to that date **Enclosure 4.**

On March 29 2017 UL Philosophy Professor Paul Vimitz posted on line an in your face tract destroying the nonsensical charges of anti-Semitism and holocaust denial against Hall **Enclosure 5.**

I sent three letters to Alberta Advanced Education Minister Schmidt as follows:

- January 31 2017 Encouragement for his assistance in settling the grievance  
**Enclosure 6**
- April 17 2017 Political challenge to his governing party  
**Enclosure 7**
- September 5 2017 Abuse of trust and misuse of public funds by the UL Board  
**Enclosure 8**

### **Section 3 Reinstatement November 24 2017**

Hall invited me to meet with him for the first time since his suspension in order to request that I attend Court on August 8. Although we were only casual acquaintances at that time, he also asked me to become an informal advisor on procedural matters which I did thereafter.

In mid-November, the Board and ULFA drafted an agreement intended to reinstate Hall, resolve the whole cluster of outstanding grievances, and scrap all the illegal investigative shenanigans before and during the entire period of suspension, including the Helstein finding and Cooper Letter. Hall was asked to endorse the draft agreement which would then in effect become an amendment to the collective agreement for that particular purpose.

The draft contained a clause that, upon Hall's reinstatement, under Discipline Article 25.08 of the collective agreement Hakin would appoint a three person committee to investigate a complaint against Hall's performance of duties. I strongly urged Hall not to sign the draft, arguing that his reinstatement should return him with a clean slate exactly to his status prior to suspension. Then, as for any other faculty member, disciplinary action would have to start with Article 25.01. Sections 25.01 to 25.07 specified a series of steps involving the Dean and the Member in discussion, remediation, inception of discipline, and reprimand. Each step would involve documentation of the Dean's position and the Member's response with ample opportunity for Hall to consult widely. Thus, prior to any formal complaint under Article 25.08 an extensive and complete documentary record would become available to both parties. Through the draft agreement all that important evidence would be missing. I also indicated that Mahon, Hakin, Cooper, and Helstein should be disqualified from participation in any and all Article 25 procedures because of their obvious bias from the previous illegal, discredited, and now quashed investigations and procedures.

I also advised Hall that he should seek and consider the advice of many others especially the ULFA members who had put so much effort into the draft. After due consideration, he decided to endorse the Agreement specifying his reinstatement November 23 2017.

A few days later, under Article 25.08 Hall received a copy of a formal complaint filed by Dean Helstein. For some reason Cooper had gone into hiding and Helstein had been designated as Dean specifically for the new Article 25.08 case against Hall.

On November 24, the Zionist Lobby complained publicly and furiously to the Board and Government about the reinstatement, declaring its intention to participate in the investigation which it knew all about already.

On November 25, in a public message directed to her applauding Zionist supporters Premier Notley denounced and defamed Hall, explaining that his UL appointment survived only on a legal technicality. ULFA registered its dismay at this incredible intervention **Enclosure 9.**

On December 13, to the delight of the Lobby, on the floor the Alberta Legislative Assembly Zionist MLA Gotfried and Advanced Education Minister Schmidt took turns vilifying and defaming Hall, in total contempt of the Assembly's own Rules of Order **Enclosure 10.**

## **Section 5 The Investigation**

But the Zombie staggered in again. The Helstein complaint consisted of the now ancient Lobby lawyers' anti-Semitism, holocaust denial, and conspiracy theories plus Mahon's addition of discrimination and plus a new Helstein wrinkle, lack of scholarly integrity. When Hall showed it to me, I asked where is the evidence? He said there is none. I couldn't believe it. Hall prepared a lengthy rebuttal, and contacted peer witnesses to testify on his behalf.

Hall was notified that Hakin had appointed to the Investigation Committee IC Chair Eric Adams, Professor of Law U of Alberta; Kathy Hegadoren, Professor of Nursing U of Alberta; Jim Wishloff, Professor of Business UL Edmonton Campus, but no other information about the directions to the Committee or the procedure that it would follow. Hall was unable to find out how they had been selected. None was from a discipline remotely related to his scholarly research in History and Globalization. Then silence. Until by chance he discovered that the IC was secretly meeting with Helstein.

Immediately I screamed bloody murder to CAUT **Enclosure 11.** It was now perfectly clear that the fix was on. The Board had no intention of following the basic principles of due process. Hall was toasted and done, however long it took and at whatever it cost. The Government of Alberta was onside. Even the Legislative Assembly was onside. ULFA was simply overwhelmed by a powerful wealthy external lobby in cahoots with a rogue Board and a corrupt Government divorced from the public interest.

In brief, the IC interviewed numerous witnesses (number and names unknown but presumably including Lobby Zionists) singly, together, who knows - all in secret with no records kept. Eventually Hall was interviewed in what he describes as a prosecutorial interrogation in the format of a barrage of questions including some arising from issues raised at all those previous secret interviews with others. Dominated by the Chair, the IC wasn't interested in the unseemly background of the case or Hall's academic career and accomplishments, refusing a review of his rebuttal. Hegadoren did take time to dismiss Hall's widely acclaimed and award winning Bowl with One Spoon series as just a hearsay collection, charging that his record was lacking in peer reviewed publications. Hall's attempt to bring academic witnesses before the IC fizzled. The IC Chair ruled that their comment would have to be secret with Hall excluded, via phone, with no record kept. His witnesses refused to participate in such a farce. The "Investigation" was over.

It is obvious that the IC mandate was to prove the Helstein claim, acting as though it was the prosecuting counsel. The defence was excluded. The 31 page IC report is written in legal jargon, obviously by the Chair playing at Law. Two of Hall's potential witnesses denounced the IC performance as a grotesque charade of academic due process, Graeme MacQueen **Enclosure 12** Robin Matthews **Enclosure 13**.

One issue raised in the Helstein claim against Hall was that his research lacked integrity. The IC seemed smitten by this charge and endorsed it enthusiastically. The first half of my career was spent as a research chemist (I am an Honourary Fellow of the Chemical Institute of Canada). Never once in all that time did I ever hear the word integrity applied to my research or that of anyone else. For my ten years as UL VP(Academic) my responsibilities included research administration. I was a founding member of the Canadian Association of University Research Administrators CAURA. I collaborated with my fellow VPs at Alberta, Athabasca, and Calgary to develop a research policy for Alberta Advanced Education. During all that time while dealing a wide array of research policy issues and with hundreds of research funding applications and accounts not once did I ever encounter the phrase research integrity.

So I spoke with an acquaintance of over 30 years who is a research professor at an Ontario university supported by major funding from public agencies in Canada and the US as well as private corporations in North America and Europe. He is widely published and has refereed for several major journals. He has no recollections of ever having encountered the concept of research integrity. When I asked him what he would make of it if his research integrity was impugned, after a few moments of reflection he replied that it would mean that he was some sort of a crook - had falsified evidence, stolen research from others, plagiarized, failed to reference the work of others, and so on. What about the dictionary definition of integrity of integrity: wholeness, soundness, uprightness, honesty? He retorted that the only one that makes any sense when applied to research would be honesty.

The Canadian Government Tri-Agency lists "Breach of Research Integrity Policy " as:

- a fabrication
- b falsification
- c destruction of research records
- d plagiarism
- e redundant publication
- f invalid authorship

The University of Calgary lists "Breach of Research Integrity" as:

- a to f as above
- g inadequate acknowledgement
- h failure to declare conflict of interest
- i mismanagement of conflict of interest

There was not even an attempt by Hall's accusers to apply the above criteria in condemning his research integrity. The entire Helstein integrity claim, endorsed by the IC, is just sheer fantasy.

The IC Report lists interviews with six persons prior to Hall, and presents the only commentary (unverifiable) available about those secret interviews:

3 Deans: Helstein, Cooper (back out of hiding after supposedly opting out of the case), and Shelley Wismath (Mathematics) described as Dean of Liberal Education. Lots of administrative firepower there.

2 Faculty Members: Bruce MacKay (Liberal Studies) and Goldie Morgentaler (English). For nine years Hall, Bruce MacKay, and a third professor had cooperated in team teaching a course in Liberal Education. When the third person left that assignment, Wismath replaced him on the team. She testified to the IC that she couldn't get along with Hall and complained to Cooper who forthwith removed Hall from the team teaching that course.

From the IC Report states "Professor MacKay describes Professor Hall as a free form lecturer and admits that students can sometimes have difficulty drawing meaning from Professor Hall's style of teaching. He expressed admiration, however, for Professor Hall's erudition and wide knowledge of Indigenous and colonial history. Professor MacKay had attended many of Professor Hall's lectures in the Liberal Education 1000 course and can recall no circumstances of concern about the content of any of those lectures".

After many years as a UL faculty member along with Hall, Morgentaler suddenly discovered during secret consultations with Mahon in September of 2016 (coincidentally just after the first appearance of the Zionist Zombie) that Hall was an anti-Semitic holocaust-denying conspiracy theorist foaming at the mouth monster scaring Jewish students and faculty member so much they were almost afraid to show up on campus. So she lodged a complaint with Cooper on September 30. Four days later Hall was suspended. That must have the mountain of evidence behind Mahon's suspension of Hall for having created "an environment that is discriminatory for students and his faculty colleagues". In **Enclosure 5** Vimintz demolishes the spurious claim

from Morgentaler, specifying her as a "bigot" in the literal meaning of the term - obstinate and intolerant adherent of a creed or view.

1. Student: Brian Telerico, a mature student from the fall of 2015. Telerico complained about an incident that the IC deemed of particular relevance to the claims of unsatisfactory teaching and lack of scholarly integrity - aha! at last prima facie evidence. Telerico said that Hall had spoken about "nuclear weapons being set off at this very moment.....you need to get on the internet and find out the truth". So he immediately complained to Associate Dean Helstein "that Professor Hall was teaching conspiracy theories without evidence". Wismath testified that she overheard that exchange. Hall does not remember the incident, so can't deny it. The alleged complaint was never brought to his attention. He cannot at this date place a face to the name Telerico.

Hold on a minute. They have come to play on my home court. It so happens that Hall's statements, if indeed he made them, about nuclear weapons and the internet were true. An early portion of my career as a research chemist (I am an Honorary Fellow of the Chemical Institute of Canada) was in the field of nuclear chemistry. For several years I volunteered for Canada Civil Defence as a public information officer and authored for them a widely distributed manual on basic nuclear science. I have been a lifetime activist against nuclear proliferation. During the US invasions of Afghanistan and Iraq the US military began using depleted uranium DU to increase the penetration of its rocket, missile, and bomb warheads. The DU scattered widely across the landscape from the explosion of those weapons is both a radioactive and chemical toxin - that is DU warheads are both nuclear and chemical weapons. The resulting international revulsion caused the US to agree that it would cease the use of DU. However, in the fall of 2015 the US forces once again used DU, this time in Syria, and once again roused international condemnation.

This is just a minor incident, but it shows so clearly how grievously mistaken it is for academics who should know better to wander around passing serious judgement on those from other fields, where to put it crudely they couldn't find their way to the outhouse and back.

Finally, there is a strange aroma of inverted logic emanating from both the Helstein complaint and the IC Report regarding academic freedom. Everyone else in the world regards academic freedom, whether or not they approve of it, as protection from external interference intended to suppress scholarly activities. But Helstein and the IC regard it as an infringement upon the University by the academic. In their case against Hall over and over ad nauseum they condemn him for violating the academic free provisions of the collective agreement. In a weird section of its report, the IC asserts "that academic freedom must have limits to protect against false science, for example, or outrageous scholarly assertions such as that slavery of African-Americans was a hoax concocted to create sympathy for Blacks". That statement has absolutely nothing to do with academic freedom. Academic freedom would protect such academics from those who would deny the right to research about slavery. But it surely would not protect them from being hauled before peers for ~~incompetence if they made absurd~~



there was a time in the 1920s when revolutionary new ideas about quantum mechanics were declared false science. Brilliant young scientists were denied academic appointments for committing such apostasy. How would the IC explain that?

Those like Mahon, Helstein, Adams and Iacobucci who declare they are all in favor of academic freedom, except of course on controversial topics, remind me of those who declare they are all against wife beating, except of course when she deserves it. They should be required to study and pass a test upon an authoritative source on academic freedom such as Susan Drummond's superb UNTHINKABLE THOUGHTS: ACADEMIC FREEDOM AND THE ONE-STATE MODEL FOR ISRAEL AND PALESTINE which defines and describes academic freedom as the very essence of the institution known as a university. Otherwise, handing them responsibilities in academic administration is like handing loaded guns to kindergarten students.

The Adams IC concluded with a resounding clarion call to action. "After conducting this investigation, we could not, in good faith, recommend that Jewish students take any classes with professor Hall, and we worry more generally about the impact of discriminatory content in Professor Hall's classrooms". Amazing. Just month earlier Premier Notley publicly announced on behalf of the Alberta Government that very same conclusion without any investigation at all "We absolutely do not believe he should be teaching students".

According to the IC Report, aside from Helstein the only witness to mention anti-Semitism, holocaust-denial or discrimination was Morgentaler. The alleged student reference to "conspiracy theories" pertains to nuclear weapons, totally unrelated to those weaponized phrases.

**Section 6 Box Score**  
**Allegations of Anti-Semitism, Holocaust Denial, Discrimination Against Anthony Hall**  
**July 1990 to June 2016**

From members of the University:

Students **ZERO**

Not a single one from any source including 26 years 52 semesters of Instructor Evaluations by approximately 3000 students.

Faculty Members **ONE**

Morgentaler

From the Board and Administration **TWO**

Mahon Helstein

Other sources

Zionist Lobby **THOUSANDS**

Alberta Government **TWO**

Notley Advanced Education Minister Schmidt

## Section 7 Retirement

The last internal step in Article 25 was for Hakin to invite responses to the IC Report, following which he would make a decision on Hall's status: deny the Complaint; order dismissal; or direct some intermediate disciplinary action. Helstein reported her delight at the confirmation of her Complaint. Hall requested to meet with Hakin for one last hail-mary to achieve some semblance of due process. He intended to propose hearings on real tangible evidence through personal appearance of witnesses from his academic field. He informed Hakin that at the meeting he would be accompanied by the immediate ULFA Past President Amelinckx and myself. Hakin replied that he could find an hour or so for a meeting where he would be accompanied by a Board lawyer and Hall could bring along Amelinckx but not me. After that response Hall did not even bother to meet with Hakin.

ULFA could appeal to an external arbitrator through a process likely to take a couple of years, and eventually of course Hall could file civil action for wrongful dismissal. Both those lawyer infested stages would have totally unpredictable outcomes depending upon legal arguments and precedents, not academic criteria.

Tony had come to realize for some time that he could never return to the toxic authoritarian administrative environment now strangling scholarship at the UL. After two years of non-stop battling against assassins of the Zionist Lobby, senior officials of the Government, and agents of the Board, Tony's financial resources were becoming stretched and psychological strength endangered. ULFA's prodigious efforts to achieve due process in his case had exhausted its energies as well.

After discussing the situation with ULFA, his lawyer, and his various advisors, supporters, and academic colleagues within and beyond the UL, Tony considered different options for termination of his UL academic appointment. Strongly encouraged by his lawyer and myself, at the age of 67 Tony Hall served notice of his retirement effective October 26 2018 with the agreed conditions that all proceedings under Article 25 were terminated immediately as incomplete and void, and that he would terminate all actions against the Board.

In his letter informing the Board of Tony's decision to retire, Tony's lawyer inserted this paragraph. "There has been an unusual accelerated process that failed to provide procedural fairness together with ample opportunity for Professor Hall to make a defence. There is a

serious lack of evidence of Professor Hall's impropriety. Rather guilt by association and unsubstantiated claims seem to have prevailed. There has been no finding of a breach of the Human Rights Act in fact two internal investigations held he was not in breach. There has been public breaches of confidence and allegations that can only lead to further disparaging of the University's reputation".

That was a fitting summation of this sordid episode in Canadian academic history.

## **Section 8 Conclusion**

Tony's retirement is by no means his obituary **Enclosure 14**. He remains live and well and in full scholarship mode, invigorated by relief from the oppressive burden of the last two years. In fact, even during his suspension he had attended and presented at Globalization conferences in Iran, Ireland, and California and authored an article for the academic journal Genocide Studies International published by the University of Toronto Press **Enclosure 15**.

About this time a remarkable event occurred. Throughout his ordeal, the Lethbridge Herald had gone along as a fellow traveler of the Zionist owned/dominated/influenced national media like the CBC, CP, SunMedia etc in dumping on Hall whenever the Zionist Lobby fired its verbal weapons his way. But one fine day a Herald assistant editor asked Tony for his comments and a look at the documentation. He was appalled by what he learned. So in a five part Herald series from June 4 to 8 2018, he gave Tony the chance to tell it all **Enclosure 16**. For the first time his story came into the open, perhaps in a small time outlet but of course soon online, a truly enormous psychological boost.

So back to my recommendation in Section 1. It is not about Tony Hall. He's a survivor. He's OK. But there are victims: academic freedom in the UL; academic freedom in Alberta; academic freedom in Canada **Enclosures 17 and 18**.

The time has come to shine the beacon of light of a comprehensive CAUT investigation upon:

- this latest episode in the scurrilous campaign of the disreputable Zionist Lobby to stifle academic freedom in this country;
- the abject failure of the UL Board of Governors to perform its statutory and moral duty to support and protect the pursuit of scholarship at the UL;
- the abandonment of the public interest by the Government by prostituting itself to the demands of the powerful extremist political private interest Zionist Lobby.

The assigning of accountability for this public debacle would be the first small but necessary step on the road to recovery.

But maybe it's too late. A High Potentate of the Zionist Lobby has celebrated its self-proclaimed academic assassination of Anthony Hall "This is a monumental precedent-setting

victory for human rights in Canada...B'nai Brith commends our supporters for keeping pressure (Zionist euphemism for bullying threat bribery) on the university, the Government of Alberta, and ultimately on Hall himself" **Enclosure 19**. Has the Zionist Lobby now replaced the venerable CAUT as the ultimate authority, arbitrator, and adjudicator on academic freedom in this nation?

Owen Holmes

Postscript: Throughout the Tony Hall Affair I have sent several messages to the CAUT with nary a single acknowledgement. How about a precedent this time?

## ENCLOSURES

- Enclosure 1 Bowl with One Spoon cover descriptions
- Enclosure 2 Mahon to University Community suspension letters
- Enclosure 3 AHRC to Board Feb 13 2017
- Enclosure 4 O'Donnell The Real Crisis...and Why the Board Must Act Feb 16 2017
- Enclosure 5 Viminitz Holocaust Denial and Anti-Semitism Mar 29 2017
- Enclosure 6 Holmes to Schmidt assistance in settling grievance Jan 31 2017
- Enclosure 7 Holmes to Schmidt political challenge to governing party Apr 17 2017
- Enclosure 8 Holmes to Schmidt abuse of trust and funds by Board Sep 5 2017
- Enclosure 9 Notley to Zionist Lobby Nov 25 2017 ULFA complaint no date
- Enclosure 10 Holmes to Wanner Holmes to Wanner Feb 6 2018
- Enclosure 11 Holmes to ULFA April 23 2018 failed due process by Investigation Committee
- Enclosure 12 Graeme MacQueen criticism of Investigation Committee June 26 2018
- Enclosure 13 Robin Matthews criticism of Investigation Committee June 22 2018
- Enclosure 14 Millar to Hall continuation after retirement no date
- Enclosure 15 Hall Genocide Studies International Volume 12, Number 1, Spring 2018
- Enclosure 16 Hall Lethbridge Herald Jun 4-8 2018.
- Enclosure 17 Holmes Jan 4 2018 dumbered down column refused by L Herald but posted online
- Enclosure 18 Holmes Jan 30 2018 column refused by L Herald but posted online
- Enclosure 19 Lethbridge Herald August 9 2018